Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/662,236	NAIMER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Julie Lieu	2636
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Julie Lieu</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John Wahl</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 January 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	. The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's amendment will be made to dinguish the claimed invention from a newly cited patent to Selk, II et al. (6,885,313). The amendment will clearly point out that the shape of the ANP and RNP correspond and the ANP symbol partially overlaps the RNP symbol which is not found in the prior art.